UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/699,035	10/31/2003	John Francis Bateman	071838.0142	3842	
21003 BAKER BOTT	7590 03/23/200 S L.L.P.	EXAMINER			
30 ROCKEFEL	LER PLAZA	HADDAD, MAHER M			
44TH FLOOR NEW YORK, N	NY 10112-4498	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1644		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/23/2009	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

DLNYDOCKET@BAKERBOTTS.COM

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/699,035	BATEMAN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	Maher M. Haddad	1644	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>04 March 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (1) the mailing date of this Adnormal statutory period for reply expire the statutory period for reply expire the statutory period for reply expired to the	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of the been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extra under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: ension and the corresponding amount on the tened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the complete. 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below 	sideration and/or search (see NOT		cause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	**	lucing or simplifying th	ne issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a convergence NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21 See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mnliant Amendment (I	DTOL -324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	·		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	t canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an ex	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed: <u>4</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>None</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>43 and 44</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>None</u> . AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
10.	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. 	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Maher M. Haddad/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1644	
	,,,	· - · ·	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Claims 43-44 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Applicant's arguments, filed 3/4/09, have been fully considered, but have not been found convincing.

Applicant submits (under Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. § 112 Second Paragrap) that amended claim 43 and dependent claim 44 are directed to an isolated polypeptide, wherein said polypeptide comprises avon Willebrand Factor A-Related Protein (WARP) encoded by a nucleotide sequence selected from the group consisting of:

i.a nucleotide sequence having at least 95% identity to the entire nucleotide sequence set forth in SEQ ID NO:5; and

ii. a nucleotide sequence having at least 99% identity to the entire nucleotide sequence set forth in SEQ ID NO:5, and wherein said polypeptide comprises one yon Willebrand Factor A (VA)-like domain, a putative metal ion-dependent adhesion site (MIDAS) motif, two fibronectin type III (F3)-like repeats, and a short proline and arginine-rich segment. Applicants assert that these amendments provide for sufficient functional activity, since one would presume that a polypeptide would be share the same functional activity as that of SEQ ID NO:5, if it was to have at least 95% or 99% identity to the entire nucleotide sequence set forth in SEQ ID NO:5 while also comprising the functional domains recited.

This is not found persuasive because the claims fail to meet the enablement requirement for the "how to use" prong of the U.S.C 112, 1st paragraph. The instant fact pattern fails to indicate that a representative number of structurally related WARP encoded by 95%/99% identity to the entire nucleotide sequence is disclosed. The artisan would not know the identity of a reasonable number of representative WARP falling within the scope of the instant claim and consequently would not have known how to use them. Again, in order to satisfy 112, first paragraph, the specification has to teach how to make and use the polypeptides of the invention not how to identify the invention. While the specification discloses how to make other sequences withing claims 43-44, however, the specification fails to describ how to test these sequences to satisfy the enablement regirement.

WARP is a multifunction protein with several domains, it is not clear what teastable activity applicant is claiming. Again, the recitation of percent identity language, in the absence of a testable function and limitations regarding the sequence length over which the percent identity is required; does not allow the skilled artisan to make and use the encoding nucleic acids commensurate in scope with the instant claims without undue experimentation.